Case: 4:16-cr-00042-RWS Doc. #: 15 Filed: 02/10/16 Page: 1 of 4 PageID #: 40

AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - Modified

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America) v.)			
) Case No. 4:16CR42 RWS (PLC) JON THOMAS WHITE			
Defendant)			
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL			
DETERVITOR ORDER TERRITOR			
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial.			
Part I—Findings of Fact			
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted			
of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal			
jurisdiction had existed - that is			
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.			
\Box an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in			
*			
a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:			
\Box any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:			
□ a minor victim			
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon			
□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the \Box date of conviction \Box the defendant's release			
from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption			

Case: 4:16-cr-00042-RWS Doc. #: 15 Filed: 02/10/16 Page: 2 of 4 PageID #: 41

AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - Modified

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

	Alternative Findings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
	□ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §801	
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	_
	□ involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §	
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reaso the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.	nably assure
	Alternative Findings (B)	
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
2 (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the communications are serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the communications.	nity.
	Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear	and
convinc	cing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that	
abreast The cor crimina stalking well un	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the Court that defendant will be abst of court appearances, comply with conditions of release, and will not be a danger to the compart adopts the facts contained in the Pretrial Services Report (Doc. 9). Defendant has an extendal history dating back to 1990 including multiple convictions for domestic assault and aggraving and currently has a temporary order of protection issued against him. Defendant has not per under supervision and has been revoked multiple times. Defendant's mental health history, lack byment, and pattern of criminal behaviour while under supervision also support an order of determination.	munity. asive ated formed of
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention	
in a corr pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held gappeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the correct eliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.	d in custody counsel. On
Date:	02/10/2016 Shily Pal	
	Judge's Signature	
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	Ξ
	Name and Title	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Page 2 of 3

Case: 4:16-cr-00042-RWS Doc. #: 15 Filed: 02/10/16 Page: 3 of 4 PageID #: 42

Case: 4:16-cr-00042-RWS Doc. #: 15 Filed: 02/10/16 Page: 4 of 4 PageID #: 43